Case 1:07-cv-10005-AKH	Document 1	Filed 11/02/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
MARISSA LUNDQUIST AND JAMES F LUND	OQUIST	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvi 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			<u> </u>
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in tinstant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth h Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	erein in addition e are marked wi	n to those paragraphs ith an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual

Plaintiffs, MARISSA LUNDQUIST AND JAMES F LUNDQUIST, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## I. PARTIES

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

		ding at 95 Wave Street, (OR)	,
2.	Alternatively, □	` /	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	_	•	

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-10005-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 11		
3. York residing Injured Plain	g at 95 Wave Street, Staten Island, NY	inafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 10304-, and has the following relationship to the		
mjured Fram	SPOUSE at all relevant times MARISSA LUNDQUIST, an the injuries sustained by her his LUNDQUIST.	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff d brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to usband (his wife), Plaintiff MARISSA  Other:		
4. Police Depar	In the period from 10/18/2001 to 1/1/2 tment (NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York		
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations		
	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	=====================================		
From on or about 10/18/2001 until 1/1/2002; Approximately 12 hours per day; for Approximately 5 days total.  The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Approximately nours per day, for Approximately days total   days total   \textsupersupersupersupersupersupersupersuper		
		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
From on or a Approximate Approximate	bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about 11/1/2001 until 1/1/2002; Approximately 8 hours per day; for Approximately 5 days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite: Court		
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injured	1 Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. **DEFENDANT(S)**

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
$\Box$ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ OTHER: \_\_

Please read this document carefully.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ROYAL GM INC. ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-10005-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial	, ,		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		<b>V</b>	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

# Case 1:07-cv-10005-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u>		Cardiovascular Injury: <u>N/A.</u>
	Date of onset:		Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A.	V	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset:		Date of onset: <u>6/13/2007</u>
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
			date
			date
П	Digestive Injury: <u>N/A.</u>	<b>✓</b>	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches
	Date of onset:	<u> </u>	Date of onset: 6/13/2007
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	W 10 Work.		date
			dute
Groui dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the ne future suffer the following compensable
<b>✓</b>	Pain and suffering		
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
$\checkmark$	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of		
	retirement benefits		
$\checkmark$	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and		
	rehabilitation		
<b>V</b>	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability		
	✓ Medical monitoring		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 30, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Lundquist

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Marissa Lundquist and James F

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
===:	MARISSA LUNDQUIST (AND HUSBAND, JAMES F LUNDQUIST),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
====	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	DOTICE OF SETTLEMENT  that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP